

UNIONS OF RANDOM WALK AND PERCOLATION ON INFINITE GRAPHS

KAZUKI OKAMURA

ABSTRACT. We consider a random object that is associated with both random walks and random media, specifically, the superposition of a configuration of subcritical Bernoulli percolation on an infinite connected graph and the trace of the simple random walk on the same graph. We investigate asymptotics for the number of vertices of the enlargement of the trace of the walk until a fixed time, when the time tends to infinity. This process is more highly self-interacting than the range of random walk, which yields difficulties. We show a law of large numbers on vertex-transitive transient graphs. We compare the process on a vertex-transitive graph with the process on a finitely modified graph of the original vertex-transitive graph and show their behaviors are similar. We show that the process fluctuates almost surely on a certain non-vertex-transitive graph. On the two-dimensional integer lattice, by investigating the size of the boundary of the trace, we give an estimate for variances of the process implying a law of large numbers. We give an example of a graph with unbounded degrees on which the process behaves in a singular manner. As by-products, some results for the range and the boundary, which will be of independent interest, are obtained.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Main Results	1
2. Law of large numbers	7
3. Boundary of the trace	10
4. Properties of c_p	13
5. Finite modification and fluctuation	15
6. Two-dimensional lattice	21
7. One-dimensional graphs	25
8. Positive and negative exponentials	28
Appendix A. A discrete analog of the Wiener sausage	32
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider Bernoulli bond percolation on an infinite connected graph G . Assume that each edge of G is open with probability $p \in [0, 1]$ and closed with probability $1 - p$. It seems natural to consider the following informal question: if we add Bernoulli percolation on G to a subgraph H of G , then

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60K35, 60K37.

Key words and phrases. Bernoulli percolation, random walk.

how much H changes? By this motivation, the author [Okam16+] proposed a model in which a configuration of Bernoulli percolation on an infinite connected graph G is added to a (deterministic or random) subgraph H independently, and then, asked whether the probability that a property $\mathcal{P}$ of H remains to be satisfied for the enlargement of H is less than 1, as p increases. If H is a single vertex of G and $\mathcal{P}$ is a property being infinite, we obtain the definition of Hammersley's critical probability. In [Okam16+], an important example of such a subgraph H and a property $\mathcal{P}$ is the case that H is the trace of the simple random walk $\{S_n\}_n$ on G and $\mathcal{P}$ is that the simple random walk on G is recurrent.

In this paper, we terminate the simple random walk on an infinite connected simple graph at a time n , and consider asymptotics for the number of vertices of the enlargement of the trace *until the time n* by subcritical Bernoulli percolation on the same graph. The main focus of [Okam16+] is the case that H is infinite. On the other hand, we focus on the case that $H = H_n$ is *finite*, and moreover depends on the time n . We denote by U_n the number of the vertices of the union of the simple random walk until time n and Bernoulli percolation (see Definition 1.1). Our purpose is to investigate asymptotics for $\{U_n\}_n$. We compare $\{U_n\}_n$ with $\{R_n\}_n$, which is the number of the vertices that are visited by the simple random walk until time n , and how much the behaviors of $\{U_n\}_n$ depend on the parameter p of Bernoulli percolation. If we add no percolation clusters (i.e. $p = 0$), then U_n is identical with R_n . If we add non-trivial percolation clusters (i.e. $p > 0$), then $\{U_n\}_n$ becomes more complicated. $\{U_n\}_n$ is more highly self-interacting than $\{R_n\}_n$, which is already self-interacting. Such self-interacting nature yields difficulties.

This process is associated with both random walks and random media. Of such objects, random walk in random environment (RWRE), including random walk on percolation cluster, is well-known and has been intensively studied. Random walk in random scenery (RWRS) is another known model. It is a random process such that at each time, both the step taken by the walk and the scenery value at the site that is visited are registered. To the best of our knowledge, our framework is different from any known studies on RWRE and on RWRS.

We first show a strong law of large numbers (LLN) for $\{U_n\}_n$ on vertex-transitive graphs. The almost sure limit of U_n/n depends on the parameter of the percolation p and is denoted by c_p . We consider properties of c_p as a function of p . Specifically, we show that c_p is analytic and has at least linear growth. We consider how much the behavior of $\{U_n\}_n$ changes if we modify G . Long-time behaviors of $\{U_n/n\}_n$ and $\{E[U_n] - c_p n\}_n$ are stable with respect to finite modifications of vertex-transitive transient graphs. (See Definition 1.2 for the definition of finite modification.) It is shown that $\{U_n/n\}_n$ fluctuates almost surely on a certain non-vertex-transitive transient graph. On the two-dimensional integer lattice, by investigating the size of the boundary of the trace of random walk, we give upper bounds for variances and LLN for $\{U_n\}_n$. We give an example of a recurrent graph with *unbounded* degrees on which $\{U_n\}_n$ behaves in a “singular” manner. We consider positive and negative exponentials of $\{U_n\}_n$, and obtain results similar

to Hamana [H01] for positive exponentials of $\{U_n\}_n$, and Donsker-Varadhan [DV79] for the negative. In Appendix, behaviors of $\{E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_n]\}_n$ are considered. Here $E^{\mathbb{P}_p}$ is the expectation with respect to percolation. Roughly speaking, $E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_n]$ is the process obtained by eliminating the randomness of percolation. It is somewhat easier to analyze than $\{U_n\}_n$.

Many results are similar to those for the range $\{R_n\}_n$. However, our proofs are different from those for the corresponding results, because we need to deal with highly self-interacting nature of $\{U_n\}_n$. As by-products of this research, we obtain some results for the range and the size of the boundary of the trace, which will be of independent interest.

1.1. Framework and Notation. We introduce some notation. The expectation with respect to a probability measure μ is denoted by E^μ . For two probability measures μ and ν , $\mu \otimes \nu$ denotes the product measure of μ and ν . We let the infimum of an empty set be $+\infty$. For two functions f, g on the integers, $f(n) \simeq g(n)$ means that there are some constants $0 < c < C < +\infty$ such that $c|g(n)| \leq |f(n)| \leq C|g(n)|$ for any large n . $f(t) \sim g(t)$ means that $f(t)/g(t) \rightarrow 1$ as either $t \rightarrow 0$ or $t \rightarrow \infty$. Readers will immediately see which of the two cases of the limit are considered, case by case.

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be an infinite connected simple graph. For ease of notation, we often denote $V(G)$ by G . We assume that it has bounded degrees, unless stated otherwise. Let Δ_G be the maximal degree of G . If we give a subset H of $V(G)$ and do not refer the set of edges, then, the graph considered is the induced subgraph of H . Let d be the graph distance of G and $B(x, n) := \{y \in V(G) : d(x, y) \leq n\}$. For $A \subset G$, denote the cardinality of A by $|A|$, and the complement of A by $G \setminus A$ or A^c . Let $\text{diam}(A)$ be the diameter of A , that is, the supremum of the distance between two points in A . In this paper, if $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and the set of edges are not referred, then it is the nearest-neighbor model, that is, the set of edges is the collection of two adjacency vertices of G . Let $|\cdot|_\infty$ be the infinity norm of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $B_\infty(x, r)$ be the open ball having center x and radius r with respect to $|\cdot|_\infty$. For disjoint subsets A and B of $V(G)$, the effective resistance $R_{\text{eff}}(A, B)$ between A and B is defined by

$$R_{\text{eff}}(A, B)^{-1} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E(G)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 : f = 1 \text{ on } A, f = 0 \text{ on } B \right\}.$$

Let $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the simple random walk on G . Let P^x be the law of $(S_n)_n$ starting at $x \in G$. We say that G is *transient* or *recurrent* if the simple random walk on G is transient or recurrent, respectively. Denote $\{S_m, \dots, S_n\}$ by $S[m, n]$. We call it the trace of random walk. Define the random walk range up to time n by

$$R_n := |S[0, n]| = |\{S_0, \dots, S_n\}|.$$

We define

$$T_A := \inf \{n \geq 1 : S_n \in A\} \text{ and } H_A := \inf \{n \geq 0 : S_n \in A\}, \quad A \subset G.$$

Consider Bernoulli *bond* percolation on G . Let $\mathbb{P}_p$ be the Bernoulli measure with parameter p . Let C_x be the open cluster containing a vertex x of

G . Let $p_T(G)$ be Temperley's critical probability, that is,

$$p_T(G) := \inf \left\{ p \in [0, 1] : E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_o|] = +\infty \right\}.$$

$p_T(G) \geq 1/(\Delta_G - 1)$ holds. (See Bollobás and Riordan [BR06, Chapter 1], for example.) $p < p_T(G)$ is assumed in all assertions in this paper.

Let o be a vertex of G . Let $\tilde{P}^{o,p}$ be the product measure $P^o \otimes \mathbb{P}_p$ of P^o and $\mathbb{P}_p$. Precisely, P^x is a probability measure on the path space of random walks on G , and $\tilde{P}^{o,p}$ is a probability measure on the product space of the path space of the random walks on G and $\{0, 1\}^{E(G)}$. Let $\tilde{E}^{o,p}$ be $E^{P^o \otimes \mathbb{P}_p}$, and let $\text{Var}_{o,p}$ be the variance with respect to $P^o \otimes \mathbb{P}_p$. If G is vertex-transitive, then, these laws do not depend on o , and hence we drop the o and write $\tilde{P}^p = \tilde{P}^{o,p}$, $\tilde{E}^p = \tilde{E}^{o,p}$, $E = E^{P^o}$ and $\text{Var}_p = \text{Var}_{o,p}$. We clarify the dependence of these on G if needed.

Definition 1.1 (Volumes of unions of random walk and percolation).

$$U_n := \left| \bigcup_{x \in \{S_0, \dots, S_n\}} C_x \right|, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Here, U_n is increasing with respect to n . Since $x \in C_x$, it follows that $U_n \geq R_n$. If $p = 0$, then $U_n = R_n$, $\tilde{P}^{o,p}$ -a.s.

We say that G is *vertex-transitive* if the number of the equivalent classes of G is exactly one, where x and y are equivalent if there is a graph automorphism γ of G such that $\gamma(x) = y$.

Definition 1.2. We say that G' is a *finite modification* of G if there exist two finite subsets D on G and D' on G' such that there is an isomorphism (see Diestel [D10, Section 1.1] for the definition of this terminology) $\phi : G \setminus D \rightarrow G' \setminus D'$. We will see that G' is roughly isometric to G . (See Woess [W00, Definition 3.7] for the definition of being roughly isometric.)

1.2. Results for transient graphs.

Theorem 1.3 (Law of large numbers). *Let G be a vertex-transitive graph, and o be a vertex of G . Let*

$$c_p = c_{G,p} := E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_o| P^o(T_{C_o} = +\infty)]. \quad (1.1)$$

Then, for any $q < +\infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} = c_p, \quad \tilde{P}^{o,p}\text{-a.s. and in } L^q(\tilde{P}^{o,p}). \quad (1.2)$$

As we see in Theorem 1.6, we cannot define c_p for a certain non-vertex-transitive graph. If G is transient, then,

$$c_p \geq c_0 = P^o(T_o = +\infty) > 0.$$

On the other hand, if G is recurrent, then $c_p = 0$ for any p .

We will show Theorem 1.2 by applying Liggett's subadditive ergodic theorem to

$$U_{m,n} := \left| \bigcup_{x \in \{S_m, \dots, S_n\}} C_x \right|. \quad (1.3)$$

Informally speaking, we will show that for each l , $U_{0,l}$ and $U_{kl,(k+1)l}$ are asymptotically independent as k tends to ∞ .

If $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 3$, and $p = 0$, then, this assertion was shown by Dvoretzky-Erdős [DE51, Theorem 4]. Spitzer [Sp64, Section 4] stated that the strong law of the volume of a discrete analog for the Wiener sausage can be shown, in the same manner as in the continuous case. We will deal with this process in Appendix. However, more delicate arguments would be required for $\{U_n\}_n$, because it is highly self-interactive.

We now consider properties for c_p , which is somewhat similar to those for $E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_o|]$ as function of p .

Theorem 1.4 (Properties of c_p). *(i) If G is vertex-transitive and transient, then, c_p is analytic on $p \in [0, p_T(G))$.
(ii) Let G be a Cayley graph of a finitely generated infinite group and assume it is transient. Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dp}c_p > 0, \quad 0 < p < p_T(G). \quad (1.4)$$

(iii) If $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 11$, then

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow p_T(\mathbb{Z}^d)} c_p = +\infty.$$

We say that a volume growth condition $V(d)$ holds if there is a positive constant C such that

$$|B(x, n)| \geq Cn^d, \quad x \in G, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Theorem 1.5 (finite modification). *Assume that G is a vertex-transitive graph and G' is a finite modification of G . Then,*

(i) For any vertex o of G' ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} = c_{G,p}, \quad \tilde{P}_{G'}^{o,p}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{E}_{G'}^{o,p}[U_n]}{n} = c_{G,p}, \quad (1.6)$$

and,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} = c_{G,p}, \quad \text{in } L^1(\tilde{P}_{G'}^{o,p}). \quad (1.7)$$

(ii) If G satisfies $V(d)$ for some $d > 4$, then, for any vertex o of G' ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{E}_{G'}^{o,p}[U_n] - c_{G,p}n$$

exists. The limit does not take $\pm\infty$.

By [W00, Lemma 3.12], any vertex-transitive transient graph G satisfies $V(2)$, so, we believe that the assumption of assertion (i) is not a large restriction. Assertions (i) and (ii) are applicable to Cayley graphs of finitely generated group having polynomial volume growth with degree $d \geq 3$, and $d \geq 5$, respectively.

We now leave the case that G is vertex-transitive. If a transient graph G is *not* vertex-transitive, then (1.2) can *fail* in the following sense.

Theorem 1.6 ($E[U_n]/n$ fluctuate on a transient graph which is not vertex-transitive). *There are a graph G and a vertex o of G such that for any $p \in [0, p_T(G))$, the following holds $\tilde{P}^{o,p}$ -a.s.*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{E}^{o,p}[U_n]}{n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{E}^{o,p}[U_n]}{n}. \quad (1.8)$$

In this case, we *cannot* define the value c_p in (1.1). If $p = 0$, then, this assertion extends the author's paper [Okam14, Theorem 1.3]. We use the convergence result of Theorem 1.5 in order to show this fluctuation result.

1.3. Results for recurrent graphs.

Theorem 1.7. *If G is recurrent and vertex-transitive, then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{E}^p[U_n]}{E[R_n]} = 1. \quad (1.9)$$

A certain *homogeneity* assumption of G would be crucial for (1.9), because we can give an example of an inhomogeneous graph on which (1.9) fails. See Remark 7.1 (ii).

Theorem 1.8. *If $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$, then,*

(a)

$$\left| \tilde{E}^p[U_n] - \frac{n}{\log n} \pi \right| = O\left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^2}\right). \quad (1.10)$$

(b)

$$\text{Var}_p(U_n) = O\left(\frac{n^2}{(\log n)^4}\right). \quad (1.11)$$

(c) *For any $1 \leq q < +\infty$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} U_n = \pi, \quad \tilde{P}^p\text{-a.s. and in } L^q(\tilde{P}^p). \quad (1.12)$$

If $p = 0$, then, (1.10), (1.11), and (1.12) were obtained by Jain-Pruitt [JP70, Lemma 3.1], [JP72, Theorem 4.2]¹, and [DE51, Theorem 4], respectively. Le Gall [Le86CMP, Lemme 6.2] also shows (1.11) for the case of $p = 0$, by using the estimate for intersections of two independent random walks. For $n_1 < n_2 \leq n_3 < n_4$, U_{n_1, n_2} and U_{n_3, n_4} are not independent, which will be an obstacle to applying the method of the proof of [Le86CMP, Lemme 6.2]. We show (a), (b) and (c) by considering the boundary of the trace of the simple random walk, specifically, using the phenomenon that on a recurrent graph, the boundary of the trace is “sufficiently” smaller than the trace.

As the following shows, the behaviors of $\{U_n\}_n$ on a certain locally-finite recurrent graph with *unbounded* degrees is significantly different from those on graphs with bounded degrees.

¹They showed $(\log n)^4 \text{Var}(R_n)/n^2$ converges to a positive constant as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a general class of random walk.

Theorem 1.9 (Recurrent graph with unbounded degrees). *There is a graph G with unbounded degrees and a vertex o of G such that*

(a) $p_T(G) = 1$,

and moreover the following hold for any $p \in (0, 1)$:

(b)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log U_n}{\log n} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tilde{P}^{o,p}\text{-a.s.} \quad (1.13)$$

(c)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{Var}_{o,p}(U_n)}{n} \geq \log \frac{1}{2p^2}. \quad (1.14)$$

In (b) and (c) above, the case that $p = 0$ are excluded.

1.4. Organization of paper. Section 2 is devoted to law of large numbers and Theorem 1.3 is shown. In Section 3, we state some auxiliary results for boundary of the trace of random walk, which are used in the following sections, and then, by using them, Theorem 1.7 is shown. In Section 4, properties of c_p are considered, and Theorem 1.4 is shown. In Section 5, we deal with finite modification and fluctuations for $\{U_n\}_n$ and Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 are shown. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.8. Section 7 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.9 and remarks for “one-dimensional” graphs. We discuss positive and negative exponentials in Section 8. In Appendix, we consider $\{E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_n]\}_n$, which is a deterministic version of $\{U_n\}_n$.

2. LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

In this section, we show Theorem 1.3. We first consider the growth of the mean $\tilde{E}^{x,p}[U_n]$ as n tends to infinity.

Proposition 2.1 (Growth of mean). *For any $y \in G$ and $n \geq 1$,*

$$(1-p)^{\Delta_G} \sum_{i=0}^n \inf_{x \in G} P^x(T_x > i) \leq \tilde{E}^{y,p}[U_n] \leq \sup_{x \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] \sum_{i=0}^n \sup_{x \in G} P^x(T_x > i).$$

Proof. It follows that

$$U_n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} |C_{S_i}| 1_{\{S_i \neq S_j, i < j \leq n\}}. \quad (2.1)$$

By this and the Markov property, it follows that for any $x \in G$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \inf_{y \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_y| P^y(T_{C_y} > k)] \leq \tilde{E}^{x,p}[U_n] \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sup_{y \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_y| P^y(T_{C_y} > k)]. \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to see that for any y and n ,

$$(1-p)^{\Delta_G} \leq \frac{E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_y| P^y(T_{C_y} > n)]}{P^y(T_y > n)} \leq \sup_{z \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_z|].$$

Thus the assertion follows. $\square$

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.3. We first show the almost surely convergence of (1.2), and then show the L^q convergence of (1.2) for $q < +\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for the a.s. convergence of (1.2). Fix a vertex o of G . Since G is vertex-transitive, it follows that by (2.2),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{E}^p[U_n]}{n} = E^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_o|P^o(T_{C_o} = +\infty)].$$

We will check the assumptions of Liggett's subadditive ergodic theorem [Li85]. Recall (1.3). The subadditivity of $\{U_{m,n}\}_{m < n}$ is immediately seen. By the Markov property of $\{S_n\}$ and the translation invariance of Bernoulli percolation, $\{U_{m,m+n}\}_n$ is stationary. By the assumption, we have that

$$\tilde{E}^p[U_{0,1}] \leq 2E^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_o|] < +\infty.$$

Lemma 2.2. $\{U_{nl, (n+1)l}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is strong mixing for any $l \geq 1$. Specifically, for any $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{P}^p(\{U_{0,l} = k_1\} \cap \{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\}) = \tilde{P}^p(U_{0,l} = k_1) \tilde{P}^p(U_{0,l} = k_2).$$

For $A \subset G$ and $k \geq 0$, the k -neighborhood of A is defined by

$$A(k) := \{z \in G : \exists y \in A \text{ such that } d(z, y) \leq k\},$$

Proof. Informally speaking, we would like to show that $U_{0,l}$ and $U_{m,m+l}$ are “asymptotically independent” as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We first decompose the event $\{U_{0,l} = k_1\}$ by possible positions of $\{S_i\}_{i=0}^l$, and then approximate each decomposed event by an event independent from $\{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\}$.

Let

$$B(x_0, \dots, x_l) := \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq l} \{S_i = x_i\} \cap \left\{ \left| \bigcup_{i=0}^l C_{x_i} \right| = k_1 \right\}.$$

We decompose the event $\{U_{0,l} = k_1\}$ as follows :

$$\{U_{0,l} = k_1\} = \bigcup_{x_0, \dots, x_l \in G} B(x_0, \dots, x_l).$$

Since this union is disjoint,

$$\tilde{P}^p(U_{0,l} = k_1) = \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_l \in G} \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l)), \text{ and,}$$

$$\tilde{P}^p(\{U_{0,l} = k_1\} \cap \{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\}) = \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_l \in G} \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap \{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\}).$$

Since the number of the possible candidates for $(x_0, \dots, x_l)$ is finite, it suffices to show that for a fixed sequence $(x_0, \dots, x_l)$, $B(x_0, \dots, x_l)$ and $\{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\}$ are asymptotically independent as $m \rightarrow \infty$, that is,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap \{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\}) = \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l)) \tilde{P}^p(U_{0,l} = k_2).$$

We now consider events approximating $B(x_0, \dots, x_l)$. Let $A_l := \{x_0, \dots, x_l\}$ and

$$E_m := \{S_m \notin A_l(k_1 + k_2 + l + 2)\}.$$

Since all infinite connected simple graphs have *at least linear growth*, by Woess [W00, Corollary 14.6],

$$P^o(S_n = o) \leq Cn^{-1/2},$$

and hence,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P^o(E_m) = 1.$$

Therefore it suffices to show that $B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap E_m$ and $\{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\}$ are independent, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap \{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\} \cap E_m) \\ &= \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap E_m) \tilde{P}^p(U_{0,l} = k_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap \{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\} \cap E_m) \\ &= \tilde{P}^p\left(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap E_m \cap \left\{\left|\bigcup_{z \in S[m, m+l]} C_z\right| = k_2\right\}\right) \\ &= \sum_{y_0 \notin A_l(k_1+k_2+l+2), y_1, \dots, y_l} \tilde{P}^p\left(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap \{S_{m+j} = y_j, 0 \leq j \leq l\} \cap \left\{\left|\bigcup_{j=0}^l C_{y_j}\right| = k_2\right\}\right) \\ &= \sum_{y_0 \notin A_l(k_1+k_2+l+2), y_1, \dots, y_l} P^o\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq l} \{S_i = x_i\} \cap \bigcap_{0 \leq j \leq l} \{S_{m+j} = y_j\}\right) \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{P}_p\left(\left|\bigcup_{i=0}^l C_{x_i}\right| = k_1, \left|\bigcup_{j=0}^l C_{y_j}\right| = k_2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_0 \notin A_l(k_1 + k_2 + l + 2)$, $\{|\cup_i C_{x_i}| = k_1\}$ and $\{|\cup_j C_{y_j}| = k_2\}$ are independent. These events are completely determined by configurations in two finite boxes including $\{x_i\}_i$ and $\{y_j\}_j$, respectively. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap \{U_{m,m+l} = k_2\} \cap E_m) \\ &= \sum_{y_0; y_1, \dots, y_l} P^o\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq l} \{S_i = x_i\} \cap \{S_m = y_0\}\right) P^{y_0}\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq j \leq l} \{S_{m+j} = y_j\}\right) \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{P}_p\left(\left|\bigcup_i C_{x_i}\right| = k_1\right) \mathbb{P}_p\left(\left|\bigcup_j C_{y_j}\right| = k_2\right) \\ &= \sum_{y_0} P^o\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq l} \{S_i = x_i\} \cap \{S_m = y_0\}\right) \mathbb{P}_p\left(\left|\bigcup_i C_{x_i}\right| = k_1\right) \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_l} P^{y_0}\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq j \leq l} \{S_j = y_j\}\right) \mathbb{P}_p\left(\left|\bigcup_j C_{y_j}\right| = k_2\right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{y_0 \notin A_l(k_1+k_2+l+2)} P^o\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq l} \{S_i = x_i\} \cap \{S_m = y_0\}\right) \mathbb{P}_p\left(\left|\bigcup_i C_{x_i}\right| = k_1\right) P^{y_0} \otimes \mathbb{P}_p(U_{0,l} = k_2) \\ & \quad = \tilde{P}^p(U_{0,l} = k_2) \tilde{P}^p(B(x_0, \dots, x_l) \cap E_m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.3). $\square$

If the following lemma is shown, then, the almost surely convergence of (1.2) follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for the L^q -convergence of (1.2). It suffices to show that the following holds for any positive integer q :

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \tilde{E}^p \left[\left(\frac{U_n}{n} \right)^q \right] < +\infty.$$

By [AV08, Theorem 3], for each $p \in [0, p_T(G))$, there is a sufficiently small positive θ such that

$$E^p[\exp(\theta|C_o|)] < +\infty \quad (2.4)$$

holds for sufficiently small $\theta > 0$. By using the Hölder inequality,

$$\tilde{E}^p \left[\left(\frac{U_n}{n} \right)^q \right] \leq \frac{q!}{\theta^q} \tilde{E}^p \left[\exp \left(\theta \frac{U_n}{n} \right) \right] \leq \frac{q!}{\theta^q} \tilde{E}^p [\exp(\theta|C_o|)] < +\infty.$$

Hence, for any q , $\{(U_n/n)^q\}_{n \geq 1}$ are uniformly integrable. The L^q -convergence of (1.2) follows from this and the a.s. convergence of (1.2). $\square$

Remark 2.3. We state a second order expansion of $\tilde{E}^p[U_n]$, which corresponds to [DE51, Theorem 1] and [P66, Theorem 3.1] for the case that $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 3$. By (2.2), it is easy to see that if G is vertex-transitive and transient, then, for a vertex o of G ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1-p)^{\Delta_G} \sum_{k=1}^n P^o(k < T_o < +\infty) &\leq \tilde{E}^p[U_n] - c_p n \\ &\leq E^{\mathbb{P}^p} [|C_o|^2] \sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{x, y \in G} P^x(k < T_y < +\infty). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

See Remark A.5 also. The magnitude of growth of $\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i \geq k} P^x(S_i = x)$ as a function of n is $O(1)$ if $d \geq 5$, $O(\log n)$ if $d = 4$, and $O(n^{1/2})$ if $d = 3$, respectively.

Moreover, it is known (see Spitzer [Sp76, p342], for example) that there is a positive constant c_d such that

$$c_d k^{1-d/2} \leq P^0(k < T_0 < +\infty), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Therefore, if $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 3$, then, there are two positive constants c_d and C_d such that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$c_d(1-p)^{\Delta_G} \leq \frac{\tilde{E}^p[U_n] - c_p n}{\sum_{k=1}^n k^{1-d/2}} \leq C_d E^{\mathbb{P}^p} [|C_o|^2].$$

3. BOUNDARY OF THE TRACE

This section is devoted to state some results concerning the inner boundary of the trace of random walk, which will be used in the following Sections. Theorem 1.7 is shown. Okada [Okad14] and Asselah-Schapira [AS15, AS16] investigated a law of large numbers, variances, central limit theorems and tail estimates for random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Results we state below would be new, unless we refer to the above references.

Definition 3.1 (Inner boundary of the trace). Let $\mathcal{N}(z)$ be the set of neighborhoods of a vertex z of G , that is,

$$\mathcal{N}(z) := \{y \in G : \{z, y\} \in E(G)\}.$$

Let ∂R_n be the set of $x \in \{S_0, \dots, S_n\}$ such that $\mathcal{N}(x) \not\subset \{S_0, \dots, S_n\}$. Let L_n be the number of ∂R_n .

We have that for any n ,

$$R_n \leq U_n \leq R_n + \sum_{x \in \partial R_n} |C_x|. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\partial^e R_n$ be the set of $x \notin \{S_0, \dots, S_n\}$ such that $\mathcal{N}(x) \subset \{S_0, \dots, S_n\}$. Then, it follows that

$$L_n \leq \Delta_G |\partial^e R_n|,$$

and

$$E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_n] - R_n \geq p |\partial^e R_n| \geq \frac{p}{\Delta_G} L_n.$$

By this and (3.1),

$$\frac{p}{\Delta_G} E^{P^o}[L_n] \leq \tilde{E}^p[U_n] - E^{P^o}[R_n] \leq E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] E^{P^o}[L_n]. \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.2. *If G is recurrent and vertex-transitive, then, for some vertex x of G ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E^{P^x}[L_n]}{E^{P^x}[R_n]} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\{S'_n\}_n$ be a simple random walk on G which is independent from $\{S_n\}_n$, let T'_z be the first hitting time of $\{S'_n\}$ to z and let $P^{x,y}$ be the joint law of $\{S_n\}_n$ which starts at x and $\{S'_n\}_n$ which starts at y . Then,

$$E^{P^x}[L_n] = \sum_{k=1}^n P^{x,x}(T_x > k, \exists y \in \mathcal{N}(x) \text{ such that } T_y > k \text{ and } T'_y > n - k). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then, by noting that G is recurrent and vertex-transitive, there is a large number M such that

$$\max_{y \in \mathcal{N}(x)} P^x(T'_y > M) \leq \epsilon.$$

It follows that

$$E^{P^x}[L_n] \leq M + \epsilon \Delta_G \sum_{k=1}^{n-M} P^x(T_x > k).$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E^{P^x}[R_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y \in V(G)} P^x(T_y \leq n) = +\infty,$$

the assertion follows. $\square$

By (3.3),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E^{P^o}[L_n]}{n} \geq P^{o,o} \left(\{T_o = +\infty\} \cap \bigcup_{y \in \mathcal{N}(o)} \{T_y = T'_y = +\infty\} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.2 and (3.2), we have Theorem 1.7.

Remark 3.3. By [W00, Theorems 5.12 and 5.13], any vertex-transitive recurrent graph is a d -dimensional generalized lattice, $d = 1$ or 2 , that is, a graph whose automorphism group contains the free group $\mathbb{Z}^d$ as a quasi-transitive subgroup.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then,*

(i) ([Okad14, Theorem 2.4]) *There is a constant $c \in [\pi^2/2, 2\pi^2]$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} E^{P^0}[L_n] = c. \quad (3.5)$$

(ii)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^4}{n^2} E^{P^0}[L_n^2] < +\infty. \quad (3.6)$$

(iii)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{R_n} = 0, \quad P^0\text{-a.s.} \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. See [Okad14] for the proof of (i).

(ii) Let $u_n = \exp(n^{2/3})$ and $a_n = u_n^{1/4}$. If $\exp(n^{2/3})$ or $u_n^{1/4}$ is not an integer, we take the integer part of it. Let

$$V_n := |\{x \in S[0, u_n] : \mathcal{N}(x) \not\subset S[T_x, T_x + a_n]\}|.$$

Then

$$\max_{k \in [u_{n-1}, u_n]} L_k \leq V_n + a_n. \quad (3.8)$$

For $k \in (a_n, u_n - a_n)$, let

$$A_k := \{S_k \notin S[k - a_n - 1, k - 1], \mathcal{N}(S_k) \not\subset S[T_{S_k}, T_{S_k} + a_n]\}.$$

Then if $|k_1 - k_2| > a_n$ then A_{k_1} and A_{k_2} are independent. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{P^0}[V_n^2] &\leq |\{(k_1, k_2) : |k_1 - k_2| \leq a_n \text{ or } k_1 \notin (a_n, u_n - a_n) \text{ or } k_2 \notin (a_n, u_n - a_n)\}| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k_i \in (a_n, u_n - a_n)} P^0(A_{k_1}) P^0(A_{k_2}). \end{aligned}$$

By Kesten and Spitzer² [KS63, Theorem 4a],

$$\sum_{k \in (a_n, u_n - a_n)} P^0(A_k) \leq P^0(T_0 > a_n) P^0(\mathcal{N}(0) \not\subset S[0, a_n]) \leq O((\log a_n)^{-2}).$$

By using this and

$$|\{(k_1, k_2) : |k_1 - k_2| \leq a_n \text{ or } k_1 \notin (a_n, u_n - a_n) \text{ or } k_2 \notin (a_n, u_n - a_n)\}| = O(a_n u_n),$$

it follows that

$$E^{P^0}[V_n^2] = O\left(\frac{u_n^2}{(\log u_n)^4}\right). \quad (3.9)$$

Recall $u_n = \exp(n^{2/3})$, and $a_n = o(u_n / \log u_n)$. Now (3.6) follows from (3.8) and (3.9).

²In [KS63] it is stated that [KS63, Theorem 4a] holds for aperiodic random walk, but the definition of aperiodicity in [KS63] is different from the usual definition of it. (i.e. The infimum of n such that $P^x(S_n = x)$ is positive.) We can apply this result to the simple random walk.

(iii) We now show (3.7). Since $a_n = o(u_n / \log u_n)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n / \log u_n}{u_{n-1} / \log u_{n-1}} = 1,$$

it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log u_n}{u_n} V_n = 0, \quad P^0\text{-a.s.} \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.9),

$$P^0 \left(V_n > \frac{u_n}{\log u_n \log \log u_n} \right) \leq \left(\frac{\log u_n \log \log u_n}{u_n} \right)^2 E[V_n^2] = O \left(\left(\frac{\log \log u_n}{\log u_n} \right)^2 \right).$$

By using the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have (3.10). $\square$

4. PROPERTIES OF c_p

This section is devoted to investigate properties of the limit c_p as a function of p .

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (i). Fix a vertex o of G . The following proof for the analyticity of c_p is almost identical to the proof of Grimmett [Gr99, Theorem 6.108], so we give a sketch only. Fix a vertex o of G . We have

$$c_p = \sum_{n \geq 1} n \sum_{A \subset G, o \in A, |A|=n} P^o(T_A = +\infty) \mathbb{P}_p(C_o = A).$$

Let $a_{n,m,b}$ be the number of $A \subset G$ such that $o \in A$, $|A| = n$, and $\mathbb{P}_p(C_o = A) = p^m(1-p)^b$. Let

$$a'_{n,m,b} := \sum_{A \subset G, o \in A, |A|=n, \mathbb{P}_p(C_o=A)=p^m(1-p)^b} P^o(T_A = +\infty).$$

Then $a'_{n,m,b} \leq a_{n,m,b}$. By replacing $a_{n,m,b}$ with $a'_{n,m,b}$ in the proof of [Gr99, Theorem 6.108], we have the analyticity of c_p if p is small.

By Antunović-Veselić [AV08, Theorem 3], it follows that the exponential decay of sizes of clusters of subcritical percolations on G . The analyticity of c_p for $p \in [0, p_T(G))$ now follows. $\square$

Definition 4.1. We define the *capacity* for subsets of $V(G)$ in terms of the *effective resistance*. If A is finite, then, we let

$$\text{Cap}(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R_{\text{eff}}(A, B(x, n)^c))^{-1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then,

$$\text{Cap}(A) \leq \text{Cap}(B), \quad A \subset B \subset G. \quad (4.2)$$

By the argument following Kumagai [K14, Theorem 2.2.5],

$$\text{Cap}(A) = \sum_{x \in A} P^x(T_A = +\infty), \quad A \subset G. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.2. *If $V(G)$ has a structure of group and any left multiplication induces a graph homomorphism, then,*

$$c_p = E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\text{Cap}(C_x)], \quad x \in V(G). \quad (4.4)$$

We write $x \leftrightarrow y$ if x and y are connected by an open path.

Proof. Let o be the unit element of $V(G)$ as group. $-x$ denotes the inverse element of an element x as group. By (4.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\text{Cap}(C_o)] &= \sum_{x \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [P^x(T_{C_o} = +\infty), o \leftrightarrow x] \\ &= \sum_{x \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [P^x(T_{C_x} = +\infty), o \leftrightarrow x] \\ &= \sum_{x \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [P^o(T_{C_o} = +\infty), o \leftrightarrow -x] = c_p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii). Let $p_1 < p_2$. Let $p_3 > 0$ such that

$$p_1 + p_3 - p_1 p_3 = p_2. \quad (4.5)$$

We regard the percolation with parameter p_2 as the independent union of percolation with parameter p_1 and percolation with parameter p_3 .

Let C_o^i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, be the open clusters containing o . Therefore,

$$E^{\mathbb{P}_{p_2}} [\text{Cap}(C_o^2)] \geq E^{\mathbb{P}_{p_1}} [E^{\mathbb{P}_{p_3}} [\text{Cap}(C_o^1 \cup C_o^3)]] .$$

By this, (4.2), (4.4), and $\mathbb{P}_{p_1}(C_o^1 = \{o\}) = (1 - p_1)^{\Delta_G}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{p_2} - c_{p_1} &= E^{\mathbb{P}_{p_1}} [E^{\mathbb{P}_{p_3}} [\text{Cap}(C_o^1 \cup C_o^3)] - \text{Cap}(C_o^1)] \\ &\geq E^{\mathbb{P}_{p_1}} [E^{\mathbb{P}_{p_3}} [\text{Cap}(C_o^1 \cup C_o^3)] - \text{Cap}(C_o^1), C_o^1 = \{o\}] \\ &\geq (1 - p_1)^{\Delta_G} (c_{p_3} - c_0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

By (3.2), (3.4) and the assumption that G is transient,

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_\delta - c_0}{\delta} \geq \frac{1}{\Delta_G} P^{o,o} \left(\{T_o = +\infty\} \cap \bigcup_{y \in \mathcal{N}(o)} \{T_y = T'_y = +\infty\} \right) > 0.$$

Now (1.4) follows from (4.6) and (4.5). □

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (iii). This is obtained by a combination of two results. By (4.4) and the proof of Lawler [La96, Proposition 2.5.1],

$$c_p \geq c_d E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_0|^{1-2/d}].$$

By Fitzner-van der Hofstad [FH15, Corollary 1.3], if $d \geq 11$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|C_0| > n) \simeq n^{-1/2}.$$

These imply the assertion. □

Remark 4.3. (i) By the FKG inequality, the following upper bound for c_p holds:

$$c_p \leq E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_o|] \tilde{P}^{o,p}(T_{C_o} = +\infty) < P^o(T_o = +\infty) E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_o|].$$

(ii) It follows that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}(o)} P^o(T_{\{o,x\}} = +\infty) > \Delta_G P^o(T_o = +\infty).$$

In particular, for a certain vertex $x \in \mathcal{N}(o)$,

$$\text{Cap}(\{o, x\}) \geq P^o(T_{\{o, x\}} = +\infty) > P^o(T_o = +\infty) = \text{Cap}(\{o\}).$$

(iii) Let G be a graph obtained by attaching a half-line to a vertex o of $\mathbb{Z}^3$. Let A be a vertex o and B be a finite connected subgraph which contains o and is contained in the half-line. Then, $\text{Cap}(A) = \text{Cap}(B)$. It is natural to ask whether if G is vertex-transitive and whenever B is strictly larger than A , then $\text{Cap}(A) < \text{Cap}(B)$.

5. FINITE MODIFICATION AND FLUCTUATION

In this section, Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 are shown. We first deal with finite modifications of graphs.

Lemma 5.1. (i) *There are two positive constants C and c such that for any vertex x of G' and $n \geq 1$*

$$\mathbb{P}_p^{G'}(|C_x| > n) \leq C \exp(-cn).$$

(ii)

$$p_H(G) = p_T(G) = p_H(G') = p_T(G').$$

Proof. (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_p^{G'}(|C_x| > n) &\leq \mathbb{P}_p^{G'}(|C_x| > n, x \leftrightarrow D') + \mathbb{P}_p^{G'}(|C_x| > n, x \not\leftrightarrow D') \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_p^{G'}(\exists y \in D', |C_y| > n) + \mathbb{P}_p^G(|C_x| > n, x \not\leftrightarrow D) \\ &\leq (|D'| + 1) \mathbb{P}_p^G(|C_y| > n - |D'|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion follows.

(ii) By (i), $p_H(G) \leq p_H(G')$. Assume $p > p_H(G) = p_T(G)$. (Recall [AV08].) Then, for some x in the exterior boundary of D' ,

$$\mathbb{P}_p^{G'}(|C_x| = +\infty) \geq \mathbb{P}_p(\text{there is an infinite path from } x \text{ in } G \setminus D) > 0.$$

Hence $p > p_H(G') \geq p_T(G')$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let G' be a finite modification of G . In this proof, constants (denoted by C, c etc) depend only on G and G' . Let o be a vertex of G' . Assume that D' is contained in $B_{G'}(o, N_0)$. Here $D(k)$ and $D'(k)$ denotes the k -neighborhoods of D in G , and D' in G' , respectively.

(i) Let

$$T_{D'}^{(n)} := \inf \{i > T_{B_{G'}(o, n)^c} : S_i \in D'\}.$$

Fix $m > 4N_0$. If $d_{G'}(x, o) > 2m + \text{diam}(D')$, then, by Theorem 1.3,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} = c_{G, p}, \quad P_G^x \otimes \mathbb{P}_p^G \text{-a.s. on } \{T_{D(2m)} = +\infty\} \times \{D \not\leftrightarrow G \setminus D(m)\}.$$

By this and the definition of G ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} = c_{G, p}, \quad P_{G'}^x \otimes \mathbb{P}_p^{G'} \text{-a.s. on } \{T_{D'(2m)} = +\infty\} \times \{D' \not\leftrightarrow G' \setminus D'(m)\}.$$

It follows that

$$U_n = U_{0, m} + U_{m, n} - \left| \left(\bigcup_{i \in [0, m]} C_{S_i} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i \in [m, n]} C_{S_i} \right) \right|, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n.$$

Hence, for each m ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{U_n}{n} - \frac{U_{T_{B(o, 3m)^c}, n}}{n - T_{B(o, 3m)^c}} \right| = 0.$$

By this and the strong Markov property,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} = c_{G, p}, \quad P_{G'}^o \otimes \mathbb{P}_p^{G'} \text{-a.s. on } \{T_{D'}^{(3m)} = +\infty\} \times \{D' \not\rightarrow G' \setminus D'(m)\}.$$

By the transience of G' ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{G'}^o(T_{D'}^{(3m)} = +\infty) = 1.$$

By $p < p_T(G)$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_p^{G'}(D' \not\rightarrow G' \setminus D'(m)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_p^G(D \not\rightarrow G \setminus D(m)) = 1.$$

Since the event $\{T_{D'}^{(3m)} = +\infty\} \times \{D' \not\rightarrow D'(m)\}$ is increasing with respect to m , we have (1.5).

(1.6) is a consequence of Lemma 5.1 and (1.5). By Brézis-Lieb [BL83], (1.7) follows from (1.5) and (1.6).

(ii) By (2.1),

$$\tilde{E}_{G'}^{o, p}[U_n] = \sum_{k \leq n} \sum_y P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_y| P_{G'}^y(T_{C_y} > n - k)].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{E}_{G'}^{o, p}[U_n - U_{n-1}] \\ &= \tilde{E}_{G'}^{o, p}[|C_{S_n}|] - \sum_{y \in G'} \sum_{k \leq n-1} P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_y| P^y(T_{C_y} = n - k)]. \end{aligned}$$

We compare $\tilde{E}_{G'}^{o, p}[U_n - U_{n-1}]$ with $E_G^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x| P^x(T_{C_x} = +\infty)]$. We first show that

$$\left| \tilde{E}_{G'}^{o, p}[|C_{S_n}|] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] \right| \leq O\left(n^{-(\theta-1)}\right). \quad (5.1)$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{E}_{G'}^{o, p}[|C_{S_n}|] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] = \sum_{y \in G'} P_{G'}^o(S_n = y) \left(E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_y|] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] \right).$$

If $y \in G' \setminus B_{G'}(o, N_0 + k)$, then,

$$E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_y|, |C_y| < k] = E_G^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|, |C_x| < k],$$

and, $\mathbb{P}_p^{G'}(|C_y| \geq k) = \mathbb{P}_p^G(|C_x| \geq k)$.

Hence,

$$\sup_{y \notin B(o, N_0 + k)} \left| E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_y|] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] \right| \leq C \mathbb{P}_p^G(|C_x| \geq k).$$

Hence,

$$\left| \tilde{E}_{G'}^{o, p}[|C_{S_n}|] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] \right| \leq c \left(|B(o, N_0 + k)| n^{-\theta} + \exp(-ck) \right).$$

If we let $k = (\log n)^2$, then, (5.1) follows.

We then compare $E_G^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_x|P^x(T_{C_x} < +\infty)]$ with

$$\sum_{y \in G'} \sum_{k \leq n-1} P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_y|P^y(T_{C_y} = n - k)].$$

By [W00, Theorem 14.12], the Gaussian heat kernel upper bound holds, that is,

$$P_{G'}^x(S_n = y) + P_{G'}^x(S_{n+1} = y) \leq \frac{c}{n^{d/2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{d_{G'}(x, y)^2}{n}\right).$$

By this and Lemma 5.1, it follows that for *any* $y \in G'$ and $n > k \geq 1$,

$$\left| E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_y|P^y(T_{C_y} = n - k)] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_x|P^x(T_{C_x} = n - k)] \right| \leq C(n - k)^{-\theta},$$

and,

$$P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) \leq \frac{C}{k^\theta} \exp\left(-c \frac{d_{G'}(o, y)^2}{k}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{y \in B_{G'}(o, N_0 + (\log n)^2)} \sum_{k \leq n-1} P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) \\ & \times \left| E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_y|P^y(T_{C_y} = n - k)] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_x|P^x(T_{C_x} = n - k)] \right| \\ & \leq C \frac{|B_{G'}(o, N_0 + (\log n)^2)|}{n^{\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $y \in G' \setminus B_{G'}(o, N_0 + (\log n)^2)$ and $k \geq n - d_{G'}(o, y) + N_0$, then, by the exponential decay of the size of the open cluster,

$$\left| E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_y|P^y(T_{C_y} = n - k)] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_x|P^x(T_{C_x} = n - k)] \right| \leq 2C \exp(-c(\log n)^2).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{y \notin B_{G'}(o, N_0 + (\log n)^2)} \sum_{n - d_{G'}(o, y) + N_0 \leq k \leq n-1} P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) \\ & \times \left| E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_y|P^y(T_{C_y} = n - k)] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_x|P^x(T_{C_x} = n - k)] \right| \\ & \leq C \exp(-c(\log n)^2) \sum_{y \notin B_{G'}(o, N_0 + (\log n)^2)} \sum_{n - d_{G'}(o, y) + N_0 \leq k \leq n-1} P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) \\ & \leq Cn \exp(-c(\log n)^2). \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{y \notin B_{G'}(o, N_0 + (\log n)^2)} \sum_{k \leq n - d_{G'}(o, y) + N_0} P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) \\ & \times \left| E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_y|P^y(T_{C_y} = n - k)] - E_G^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_x|P^x(T_{C_x} = n - k)] \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{y \notin B_{G'}(o, N_0 + (\log n)^2)} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n - d_{G'}(o, y) + N_0} (k(n - k))^{-\theta} \exp\left(-c \frac{d_{G'}(o, y)^2}{k}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{y \in B_{G'}(o, N_0 + n) \setminus B_{G'}(o, N_0 + (\log n)^2)} n^{-(\theta-1)} \exp\left(-c \frac{d_{G'}(o, y)^2}{n - d_{G'}(o, y) + N_0}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Cn^{-(\theta-1)} \int_{N_0+n}^{N_0+(\log n)^2} t^d \exp\left(-c \frac{t^2}{n+N_0-t}\right) dt = Cn^{-(\theta-1)}.$$

Thus it follows that

$$\left| E_G^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_x| P^x(T_{C_x} < +\infty)] - \sum_{y \in G'} \sum_{k \leq n-1} P_{G'}^o(S_k = y) E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_y| P^y(T_{C_y} = n-k)] \right| = O(n^{-(\theta-1)}).$$

By this and (5.1),

$$\left| \tilde{E}_{G'}^{o,p}[U_n - U_{n-1}] - E_{G'}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_x| P^x(T_{C_x} = +\infty)] \right| \leq O(n^{-(\theta-1)}).$$

Now assertion (ii) follows. $\square$

Remark 5.2. (i) We do not yet know about the value of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{E}_{G'}^{x,p}[U_n] - \tilde{E}_G^{x,p}[U_n]$.

(ii) In [Okam-ws], there is an analog of Theorem 1.5 in a continuous framework. The corresponding proof in [Okam-ws] is different from here. It does not use the last exit decomposition as in (2.1).

We now consider fluctuation of $\{\tilde{E}^p[U_n]\}_n$. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^d = (\mathbb{Z}^d, E(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^d))$ be the graph whose vertices and edges are $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\{\{x, y\} : |x - y|_\infty = 1\}$. $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ is roughly isometric to $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Lemma 5.3. *For any non-empty finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^3$,*

$$\text{Cap}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}(A) < \text{Cap}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3}(A). \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Let

$$E(\mathbb{Z}^{3'}) := E(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3) \setminus E(\mathbb{Z}^3) = \{\{x, y\} : |x - y|_\infty = 1 < |x - y|\}.$$

Then, $\mathbb{Z}^{3'} := (\mathbb{Z}^3, E(\mathbb{Z}^{3'}))$ is an infinite connected vertex-transitive graph and satisfies $V(d)$ for some $d > 2$. Hence, by [W00, Corollary 4.16], $(\mathbb{Z}^3, E'(\mathbb{Z}^3))$ is transient. Hence,

$$\inf \left\{ \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E'(\mathbb{Z}^3)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 : f = 1 \text{ on } A, \text{supp}(f) \text{ is compact} \right\} > 0.$$

(5.2) follows from this and (4.1). $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *For any $p \in [0, p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3))$,*

$$c_{\mathbb{Z}^3, p} < c_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3, p}.$$

Proof. $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ also has a structure of a Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with a generating set different from the nearest-neighbor $\mathbb{Z}^d$. By (4.4), it suffices to show that

$$E_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\text{Cap}(C_0)] < E_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\text{Cap}(C_0)].$$

We regard Bernoulli bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^3$ as Bernoulli bond percolation on $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3$ such that all of edges $\{\{x, y\} : |x - y|_\infty = 1 < |x - y|\}$ declared to be closed. Then, by (5.2),

$$E_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\text{Cap}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}(C_0)] < E_{\mathbb{Z}^3}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\text{Cap}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3}(C_0)] \leq E_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\text{Cap}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3}(C_0)].$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.6. As an outline level, we follow the proof of [Okam14, Theorem 1.3], but here we need to deal with unboundedness of $\cup_{i \leq n} C_{S_i}$.

Let $p < p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3)$. Let $G_1 := \mathbb{Z}^3$. For a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $(M_k)_k$, let $G_{k+1} := G(M_1, \dots, M_k)$ be the graph such that $M_i \leq |x|_\infty \leq M_{i+1}$ has the structure of $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3$ if $i < k$ is odd, and, has the structure of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ if $i < k$ is even, and, $M_k \leq |x|_\infty$ has the structure of $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3$ if k is odd, and, has the structure of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ if k is even. Here $M_0 := 0$. Let G_∞ be the graph such that $M_i \leq |x|_\infty \leq M_{i+1}$ has the structure of $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3$ if i is odd, and, has the structure of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ if i is even. The set of vertices of G_∞ is $\mathbb{Z}^3$, and, it is a subgraph of $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3$. All $G_k, k \leq +\infty$, are roughly isometric to $\mathbb{Z}^3$.

We now specify $(M_k)_k$. We define a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_k$. Let $n_0 := 1$. Let $n_k > \exp(n_{k-1})$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{E}_{G_k}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}]}{n_k} - c \right| \leq \exp(-n_{k-1}). \quad (5.3)$$

In the above, $c = c_{\mathbb{Z}^3,p}$ if k is odd, and, $c = c_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3,p}$ if k is even. Let $M_k > n_k + \exp(n_k)$.

If k is sufficiently large, then, by the exponential decay of the size of the cluster,

$$\mathbb{P}_p^{G_k} \left(\bigcap_{x \in B_\infty(0, n_k)} \{|C_x| \leq \exp(n_k)\} \right) \leq \exp(-n_k).$$

For any $i \geq k$,

$$\mathbb{P}_p^{G_k} \left(\bigcap_{x \in B_\infty(0, n_k)} \{|C_x| \leq \exp(n_k)\} \right) = \mathbb{P}_p^{G_i} \left(\bigcap_{x \in B_\infty(0, n_k)} \{|C_x| \leq \exp(n_k)\} \right).$$

Hence, if $i \geq k$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{E}_{G_i}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}] - \tilde{E}_{G_{i+1}}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}] \right| &= \left| \tilde{E}_{G_i}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}, \cup_{x \in B_\infty(0, n_k)} \{|C_x| > \exp(n_k)\}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \tilde{E}_{G_{i+1}}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}, \cup_{x \in B_\infty(0, n_k)} \{|C_x| > \exp(n_k)\}] \right| \\ &\leq n_k \exp(-n_i). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for each fixed k ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{E}_{G_i}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}] = \tilde{E}_{G_\infty}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}].$$

Hence,

$$\left| \tilde{E}_{G_k}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}] - \tilde{E}_{G_\infty}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}] \right| \leq n_k \sum_{i \geq k} \exp(-n_i).$$

By this and (5.3),

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{E}_{G_\infty}^{0,p}[U_{n_k}]}{n_k} - c \right| \leq \sum_{i \geq k-1} \exp(-n_i).$$

In the above, $c = c_{\mathbb{Z}^3, p}$ if k is odd, and, $c = c_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3, p}$ if k is even. Thus

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{E}^{0,p}[U_n]}{n} < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{E}^{0,p}[U_n]}{n}. \quad (5.4)$$

holds for $G = G_\infty$, $x = 0$ and $p < p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3)$.

We then replace $p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3)$ above with $p_T(G)$. We will show that for a $(M_k)_k$ suitably chosen, $p_T(G_\infty) = p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3)$. Since G_∞ is a subgraph of $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3$, $p_T(G_\infty) \geq p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3)$. Now it suffices to show

$$p_T(G_\infty) \leq p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3). \quad (5.5)$$

Let $p > p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3)$. Since, by [AV08], $p_T(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3) = p_H(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3)$, (Here $p_H(G)$ denotes Hammersley's critical probability of G .) it follows that for each k , there exists a vertex x such that $|x|_\infty = M_{2k-1} + 1$, and, with positive probability under $\mathbb{P}_p^{G_{2k}}$, there exists an infinite path which does not hit any vertex of $B_\infty(0, M_{2k-1})$.

Denote by $G_{2k} \setminus B_\infty(0, M_{2k-1})$ the graph obtained by deleting all edges of $B_\infty(0, M_{2k-1})$ from G_{2k} . It is an infinite connected simple graph. It follows that for such x ,

$$E_{G_{2k} \setminus B_\infty(0, M_{2k-1})}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_x|] = +\infty.$$

Hence, if M_{2k} is sufficiently large,

$$\sum_{l=M_{2k-1}}^{M_{2k}} \mathbb{P}_p^{G_{2k} \setminus B_\infty(0, M_{2k-1})} (|C_x| > l) \geq p^{-2d(1+M_{2k-1})}.$$

It follows that

$$E_{G_{2k} \cap B_\infty(0, M_{2k})}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_x|] \geq p^{-d(1+M_{2k-1})}.$$

By repeating this argument, and by noting $G_{2k} \cap B_\infty(0, M_{2k}) = G_i \cap B_\infty(0, M_{2k})$, $i \geq 2k$,

$$E_{G_\infty}^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_x|] = +\infty.$$

Hence $p_T(G_\infty) \leq p$ and (5.5) follows. Thus it follows that (5.4) holds for $G = G_\infty$, $x = 0$ and $p < p_T(G)$.

Finally, we show the almost surely equalities of (1.8). By (1.5), for each k , there exists l_k such that

$$\tilde{P}_{G_k}^{0,p} \left(\left| \frac{U_{l_k}}{l_k} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{E}_{G_k}^{0,p}[U_n]}{n} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4^k}.$$

If we take a sufficiently large $M_k > l_k$ for each k , then,

$$\mathbb{P}_p^{G_k} (B_\infty(0, l_k) \leftrightarrow B_\infty(0, M_k)) \leq \frac{1}{4^k}.$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{P}_{G_\infty}^{0,p} \left(\left| \frac{U_{l_{2k}}}{l_{2k}} - c_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3, p} \right| > \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4^k},$$

and,

$$\tilde{P}_{G_\infty}^{0,p} \left(\left| \frac{U_{l_{2k+1}}}{l_{2k+1}} - c_{\mathbb{Z}^3, p} \right| > \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4^{k+1}}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_{l_{2k}}}{l_{2k}} = c_{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3, p} > c_{\mathbb{Z}^3, p} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_{l_{2k+1}}}{l_{2k+1}}, \quad \tilde{P}_{G_\infty}^{0,p}\text{-a.s.}$$

Thus, the proof of (1.8) is completed. $\square$

Remark 5.5. (i) As in the proof of [Okam14, Theorem 1.3], we can replace $\mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3$ with the regular trees of degrees 3 and 4, respectively.

(ii) Let G_i , $i = 1, 2$, be two graphs and let $i : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be an embedding as a subgraph. (See [D10, Section 1.7] for the definition of this terminology.) Then, by (4.1),

$$\text{Cap}_{G_1}(A) \leq \text{Cap}_{G_2}(i(A)), \quad A \subset G_1.$$

In general, we cannot expect the strict inequality. For example, consider the case that $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}^3$ and G_2 is a graph obtained by attaching a half-line to a vertex of $\mathbb{Z}^3$.

(iii) Let G_j , $j = 1, 2$, be two graphs and let $i : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be an embedding as a subgraph. Then, by (4.1),

$$\text{Cap}_{G_1}(A) \leq \text{Cap}_{G_2}(i(A)), \quad A \subset G_1.$$

In general, we cannot expect the strict inequality. For example, consider the case that $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}^3$ and G_2 is a graph obtained by attaching a half-line to a vertex of $\mathbb{Z}^3$.

6. TWO-DIMENSIONAL LATTICE

This section is devoted to consider the case that $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.8 (a) - (c). (a) [JP70, Lemma 3.1] and [DE51, (2.15)] imply that

$$E^{P^0}[R_n] = \frac{n}{\log n} \pi + O\left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^2}\right).$$

By (3.5) and (3.2),

$$\tilde{E}^{0,p}[U_n - R_n] = O\left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^2}\right).$$

Now (1.10) follows from these estimates.

(b)

$$\text{Var}_{x,p}(U_n) \leq 2(\text{Var}_x(R_n) + \text{Var}_{x,p}(U_n - R_n)). \quad (6.1)$$

By (3.1), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and (3.6),

$$\text{Var}_p(U_n - R_n) \leq E^{P^0}[L_n^2] E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_0|] = O\left(\frac{n^2}{(\log n)^4}\right). \quad (6.2)$$

By [JP72, Theorem 4.2],

$$\text{Var}(R_n) = O\left(\frac{n^2}{(\log n)^4}\right). \quad (6.3)$$

(1.11) follows from (6.1) (6.2), and (6.3).

(c) By applying an interpolation argument for U_n as in the proof of [JP72, Theorem 3.1], the almost surely convergence of (1.12) follows from (1.11) and (1.10).

Now we show the L^q -convergence for $1 \leq q < +\infty$. We can assume that q is an integer without loss of generality. If we show that for any q ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^q \tilde{E}^p[U_n^q] = \pi^q, \quad (6.4)$$

then, $\{((\log n)U_n/n)^q\}_n$ are uniformly integrable. Now the L^q -convergence follows from this, the a.s. convergence and the fact that $U_n \geq 0$.

The rest of this proof are devoted to show (6.4). First we show the following:

Lemma 6.1. *We have that³*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^q E^{P^0}[R_n^q] = \pi^q. \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. By [DE51, Theorem 4] and Fatou's lemma,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^q E^{P^0}[R_n^q] \geq \pi^q.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^q E^{P^0}[R_n^q] \leq \pi^q.$$

We have

$$E^{P^0}[R_n^q] = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_q \in [-n, n]^2} P^0 \left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq q} \{H_{x_i} \leq n\} \right).$$

Since

$$|\{(x_1, \dots, x_q) \in [-n, n]^{2q} : x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i, j\}| = O(n^{q-1}),$$

it suffices to show that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^q \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_q \in [-n, n]^2; \text{ distinctive}} P^0 \left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq q} \{H_{x_i} \leq n\} \right) \leq \pi^q. \quad (6.6)$$

Let Π_q be the permutation group on $\{1, 2, \dots, q\}$. By the Markov property and the translation invariance, if $x_1, \dots, x_q$ are distinctive,

$$\begin{aligned} P^0 \left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq q} \{H_{x_i} \leq n\} \right) &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_q \in [0, n]; \text{ distinctive}} P^0 \left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq q} \{H_{x_i} = k_i\} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_q} \sum_{0 \leq k_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < k_{\sigma(q)} \leq n} P^0 \left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq q} \{H_{x_i} = k_i\} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_q} \sum_{0 \leq k_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < k_{\sigma(q)} \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^q P^0 \left(H_{x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(i-1)}} = k_{\sigma(i)} - k_{\sigma(i-1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

³The corresponding result for the volume of the Wiener sausage follows from [Le86AOP, Corollarie 2-2].

In the third inequality above, we have used the Markov property. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_q \in [-n, n]^2; \text{ distinctive}} P^0 \left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq q} \{H_{x_i} \leq n\} \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_q} \sum_{0 \leq k_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < k_{\sigma(q)} \leq n} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_q \in [-n, n]^2} \prod_{i=1}^q P^0 \left(H_{x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(i-1)}} = k_{\sigma(i)} - k_{\sigma(i-1)} \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_q} \sum_{0 \leq k_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < k_{\sigma(q)} \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^q \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} P^0 (H_x = k_{\sigma(i)} - k_{\sigma(i-1)}) \\
& = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_q \in [0, n]; k_1 + \dots + k_q \leq n} \prod_{i=1}^q \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} P^0 (H_x = k_i) \leq \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} P^0 (H_x \leq n) \right)^q.
\end{aligned}$$

(6.6) follows from this and [DE51, Theorem 1]. $\square$

By this lemma, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^q \tilde{E}^{0,p} [(U_n - R_n)^q] = 0. \quad (6.7)$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}^{0,p} [(U_n - R_n)^q] & \leq E^{P^0} [L_n^q] E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_0|^q] \\
& \leq E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_0|^q] E^{P^0} \left[\left(\frac{L_n}{R_n} \right)^{2q} \right]^{1/2} E^{P^0} [R_n^{2q}]^{1/2}. \quad (6.8)
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.7) and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E^{P^0} \left[\left(\frac{L_n}{R_n} \right)^{2q} \right] = 0.$$

Now (6.7) follows from this, (6.5) and (6.8). $\square$

Remark 6.2. We can give an alternative proof of (c) as follows. Since ∂R_n surrounds the origin and $L_n = O(n/(\log n)^2)$, by using the isoperimetric inequality for subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, there is a path from the origin to ∂R_n whose length is of order $O(n^{1/2}/\log n)$. Hence we can apply Fontes-Newman [FN93, Theorem 4]⁴,

$$\frac{1}{L_n} \sum_{x \in \partial R_n} |C_x| < +\infty, \quad \mathbb{P}_p\text{-a.s.}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{R_n} = 1, \quad \mathbb{P}_p\text{-a.s.}$$

⁴[FN93, Theorem 4] is stated for site percolation, but, as in the proof of Grimmett-Piza [GP97, Lemma 6], it holds for the case of bond percolation.

Proposition 6.3 (Volume of intersections). *Let S^1 and S^2 be two independent simple random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ starting at 0. Let*

$$I_n := \left| \left(\bigcup_{i \in [0, n]} C_{S_i^1} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i \in [0, n]} C_{S_i^2} \right) \right|.$$

Let

$$f_p(n) := E^{P^{0,0} \otimes \mathbb{P}_p} [I_n], \quad 0 \leq p < p_T(\mathbb{Z}^2).$$

Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} (f_p(n) - f_0(n)) = 0. \quad (6.9)$$

Remark 6.4. The fact that U_{n_1, n_2} and U_{n_3, n_4} are not independent for $n_1 < n_2 \leq n_3 < n_4$ will be an obstacle also for the proof of the estimate corresponding to [Le86CMP, (6.u)]. However, the situation is different in the *deterministic* case. In the case, we can show the statement corresponding to Theorem 1.8 (c) by using the statement corresponding to Proposition 6.3, as in the proof of [Le86CMP, Lemme 6.2]. See Appendix for details.

Proof. In this proof, if we consider P^z for $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then we take the integer part of z (i.e. $y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $z \in y + [0, 1)^2$). By the translation invariance of percolation,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{P^{x,y} \otimes \mathbb{P}_p} [I_n - I_{0,n}] &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [P^0(T_{C_z} \leq n)^2 - P^0(T_z \leq n)^2] \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [P^z(T_{C_0} \leq n < T_0) P^z(T_{C_0} \leq n) + P^z(T_0 \leq n) P^z(T_{C_0} \leq n < T_0)] \\ &\leq 2E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \sum_{x, y \in C_0} P^z(T_x \leq n < T_0) P^z(T_y \leq n) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality repeatedly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(\log n)^2}{n} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \sum_{x, y \in C_0} P^z(T_x \leq n < T_0) P^z(T_y \leq n) \right] \\ &\leq \left(E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\sum_{x \in C_0} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} P^z(T_x \leq n < T_0)^2 \right] E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_0|] \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} P^z(T_0 \leq n)^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

By change of variables,

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} P^z(T_x \leq n < T_0)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\log n)^2 P^{x+n^{1/2}z}(T_x \leq n < T_0)^2 dz.$$

Here dz denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^2$. As in [Le86CMP, Theoreme 3.5], let

$$f_2(r) := \max\{0, -\log r\} + r^{-2} 1_{\{r \geq 1/2\}}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$. Then, by the Gaussian heat kernel estimates,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log n) P^{x+n^{1/2}z}(n < T_0 \leq n(1+\delta)) \leq \log(1+\delta).$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log n) P^{n^{1/2}z}(T_0 \leq n) P^x(T_0 \geq n\delta) \leq f_2(|z|) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^x(T_0 \geq n\delta) = 0.$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is taken arbitrarily, it follows that for each $z \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log n) P^{x+n^{1/2}z}(T_x \leq n < T_0) = 0.$$

We remark that for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\log n) P^{x+n^{1/2}z}(T_x \leq n < T_0) &\leq (\log n) P^{x+n^{1/2}z}(T_x \leq n) \\ &= (\log n) P^{n^{1/2}z}(T_0 \leq n) \leq f_2(|z|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the dominated convergence theorem, for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} P^z(T_x \leq n < T_0)^2 = 0.$$

By this and $p < p_T(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in C_0} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} P^z(T_x \leq n < T_0)^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{P}_p\text{-a.s.}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{x \in C_0} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} P^z(T_x \leq n < T_0)^2 \leq |C_0| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_2(|z|)^2 dz.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\sum_{x \in C_0} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} P^z(T_x \leq n < T_0)^2 \right] = 0. \quad (6.11)$$

On the other hand,

$$E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\sum_{x \in C_0} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n} P^z(T_x \leq n)^2 \right] \leq E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_0|] \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_2(|z|)^2 dz < +\infty.$$

By this, (6.10), and (6.11), we have (6.9). $\square$

7. ONE-DIMENSIONAL GRAPHS

In this section, we deal with one-dimensional graphs.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Construct $G = G(\{a_n, b_n\}_n)$ as follows. First, prepare the line graph $(\mathbb{N}, \{\{n, n+1\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$, and then attach b_n new vertices each of which is connected by an edge to each of the vertices a_n for each n . (Here we assume that $\mathbb{N}$ contains 0.) Suitable choices of values of a_n and b_n will lead the desired result. It follows that $T_{a_n} \geq a_n \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$, P^0 -a.s. By [W00, Theorem 2.12], G is recurrent. Hence, $T_{a_n} < +\infty, P^0$ -a.s.

We define a_n and b_n by induction on n . Assume that $a_i, b_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, are given. Let $c_0 := 0$ and $c_k := \sum_{i \leq k} a_i + b_i, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then we define a_n and b_n satisfying that

$$a_n > \exp \left(\left(\sum_{i \leq n-1} a_i + b_i \right)^2 \right), \quad (7.1)$$

and

$$b_n = \text{the integer part of } n^{-2}p^{-a_n}. \quad (7.2)$$

(a) It suffices to show that

$$E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_0|] < +\infty \text{ for any } p < 1.$$

We have that

$$\mathbb{P}_p(|C_0| > c_{n-1} + l) \leq p^{a_{n-1}+l}, \quad 0 \leq l < a_n.$$

$$\mathbb{P}_p(|C_0| > c_{n-1} + l) \leq p^{a_n}, \quad a_n \leq l \leq a_n + b_n.$$

(7.2) imply that $b_n p^{a_n} = O(n^{-2})$. By this and (7.1),

$$E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_0|] = \sum_n \sum_{l=0}^{a_n+b_n} \mathbb{P}_p(|C_0| > c_{n-1} + l) < +\infty.$$

(b) Let V_n be the number of open edges adjacent to a_n . Then,

$$U_{T_{a_n}} - R_{T_{a_n}} \geq V_n.$$

By a large deviation estimate for the sum of the Bernoulli trials,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^{0,p}(U_{T_{a_n}} > pb_n/2) &\geq \mathbb{P}_p(V_n > pb_n/2) \\ &\geq 1 - \exp(-cb_n p/2). \end{aligned}$$

By the Borell-Cantelli lemma,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_{T_{a_n}}}{b_n} \geq \frac{p}{2} > 0, \quad \tilde{P}^{0,p}\text{-a.s.} \quad (7.3)$$

By [K14, Lemma 4.1.1(v)] and (7.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n P_G^0(T_{a_n} > a_n^2 \log a_n) &\leq \sum_n a_n^{-2} (\log a_n)^{-1} E_G^{P^0}[T_{a_n}] \\ &\leq \sum_n 2a_n^{-1} (\log a_n)^{-1} R_{\text{eff}}^G(0, G \setminus [0, a_n]) \\ &= \sum_n 2(\log a_n)^{-1} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By using this, (7.2) and the Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$P^0(T_{a_n} < C_p(\log b_n)^2 \log \log b_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Now (1.13) follows from this and (7.3).

(c) By (7.1), for some positive constant c , the following holds for any n :

$$P^0(T_{a_n} = a_n) \geq \left(2^{a_n - (n-1)} \prod_{i \leq n-1} (b_i + 2) \right)^{-1} \geq ca_n^{-1} 2^{-a_n}. \quad (7.4)$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[(V_n - E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[V_n])^2] &= p(1-p)b_n^2, \\ \tilde{E}^{0,p}[(U_{T_{a_n}} - R_{T_{a_n}} - V_n)^2] &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{i \leq n-1} b_i \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and (7.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\left(U_{T_{a_n}} - E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_{T_{a_n}}] \right)^2 \right] &= E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\left(U_{T_{a_n}} - R_{T_{a_n}} - E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_{T_{a_n}} - R_{T_{a_n}}] \right)^2 \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [(V_n - E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[V_n])^2], \quad P^0\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

By this and (7.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{0,p}(U_{a_n}) &\geq \frac{1}{2} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [(V_n - E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[V_n])^2] P^0(T_{a_n} = a_n) \\ &= p(1-p)b_n^2 P^0(T_{a_n} = a_n) \\ &\geq cn^{-3} p^{-2a_n} 2^{-a_n} \geq c(2p^2)^{-a_n}. \end{aligned}$$

(1.14) follows from this. $\square$



FIGURE 1. Rough figure of the graph G

Remark 7.1. For the graph G in the above proof, we have the following:

(i)

$$\sup_{x \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] = +\infty, \quad p > 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_x|] < +\infty, \quad x \in G, \quad p \in [0, p_T(G)).$$

(ii) If $p \in (0, 1/2)$, then (1.9) fails for G . Indeed, by (7.4),

$$\tilde{E}^{0,p}[U_{a_n}] \geq \tilde{E}^{0,p}[U_{T_{a_n}}, T_{a_n} = a_n] \geq E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[V_n] P^0(T_{a_n} = a_n) \geq cp \frac{b_n}{a_n 2^{a_n}}.$$

By this, $0 < p < 1/2$, (7.1) and (7.2),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2p)^{E^{P^0}[R_{a_n}]} \tilde{E}^{0,p}[U_{a_n}] = +\infty.$$

7.1. Remarks.

Theorem 7.2 (One-dimensional case). *If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, then, the laws of $U_n/\sqrt{n}$ under $\tilde{P}^p$ converges to the law of $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t - \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $(B_t)_t$ denotes Brownian motion.*

If $p = 0$, then, this follows from [JP72, Theorem 6.1].

Proof. By using (3.1) and $\partial R_n = \{\min_{i \leq n} S_i, \max_{i \leq n} S_i\}$, we have

$$0 \leq U_n - R_n \leq |C_{\max_{i \leq n} S_i}| + |C_{\min_{i \leq n} S_i}|. \quad (7.5)$$

By the translation invariance of percolation, it follows that

$$\tilde{P}^p \left(|C_{\max_{i \leq n} S_i}| > n^{1/2} \right) = \mathbb{P}_p \left(|C_0| > n^{1/2} \right) \leq 2p^{n^{1/2}/2}.$$

Hence, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$\frac{U_n - R_n}{n^{1/2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \tilde{P}^p\text{-a.s.}$$

By [JP72, Theorem 6.1],

$$\frac{R_n}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t - \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in the law of } P^0.$$

□

Remark 7.3. Assume that

$$\sup_{x \in G} E^{\mathbb{P}^p}[|C_x|] < +\infty, \quad (7.6)$$

and for any vertex x ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_n}{\log n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log E^{P^x}[R_n]}{\log n} = \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad P^x\text{-a.s.} \quad (7.7)$$

Then, we can show that for any vertex x ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log U_n}{\log n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tilde{E}^{x,p}[U_n]}{\log n} = \alpha, \quad \tilde{P}^{x,p}\text{-a.s.}$$

It is known that (7.7) holds for a large class of recurrent fractal graphs. See Kumagai-Nakamura [KN15]. It would be interesting to determine whether (7.6) holds on any $p \in [0, p_T(G))$. This fails for some “singular” graph G . See Remark 7.1. Let G be a $d \geq 2$ -dimensional Sierpinski gasket graph, or, 2-dimensional Sierpinski carpet graph. Bernoulli percolation on such graphs has been considered by Shinoda [Sh96, Sh02, Sh03], Kumagai [K97], Higuchi-Wu [HW08]. We conjecture that (7.6) holds for such fractal graphs.

8. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE EXPONENTIALS

We now consider positive exponentials of $\{U_n\}$ in the case that G is vertex-transitive. For $\theta > 0$ and $p \in [0, p_T(G))$, let

$$\Lambda_p(\theta) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tilde{E}^p[\exp(\theta U_n)]}{n}.$$

The existence of the above limit (in which we allow to take $+\infty$) follows from a subadditivity argument. Now similarly to [H01], we consider behaviors of $\Lambda_p(\theta)$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. For each $p \in [0, p_T(G))$ and a vertex o of G , let

$$\theta_c(p) := \inf \left\{ \theta > 0 : E^{\mathbb{P}^p}[\exp(\theta |C_o|)] = +\infty \right\}.$$

If $p > 0$, then $E^p[\exp(\theta |C_o|)] = +\infty$ for large θ . By (2.4), it follows that

$$\theta_c(p) = +\infty, \quad p = 0.$$

$$0 < \theta_c(p) < +\infty, \quad 0 < p < p_T(G).$$

$$\theta_c(p) = 0, \quad p \geq p_T(G).$$

By the Hölder inequality, $\Lambda_p(\theta)$ is convex with respect to θ , and hence, continuous on $[0, \theta_c(p))$.

Theorem 8.1 (Positive exponentials). *(i) If G is vertex-transitive and transient, then,*

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda_p(\theta)}{\Lambda_0(\theta)} = \frac{c_p}{c_0},$$

where c_p is the constant in (1.1).

(ii) If $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d = 1, 2$, then,

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda_p(\theta)}{\Lambda_0(\theta)} = 1.$$

Proof. (i) As in [H01, (3.1)], for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\Lambda_p(\theta) \leq \frac{\theta}{n} \tilde{E}^p[U_n] + \frac{\theta^2}{2n} \tilde{E}^p[U_n^2 \exp(\theta U_n)]$$

Hence, for each fixed $n \geq 1$,

$$\limsup_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda_p(\theta)}{\theta} \leq \frac{\tilde{E}^p[U_n]}{n}.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda_p(\theta)}{\theta} \leq c_p.$$

By Jensen's inequality, $\Lambda_p(\theta) \geq \theta c_p$. Thus it follows that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda_p(\theta)}{\theta} = c_p.$$

(ii) Assume $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$. As in [H01, (3.1)], for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\Lambda_p(\theta) \leq \frac{\theta}{n} \tilde{E}^p[U_n] + \frac{\theta^2}{2n} \tilde{E}^p[U_n^2 \exp(\theta U_n)] \quad (8.1)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$U_n^2 \exp(\theta U_n) \leq R_n \left(\sum_{x \in S[0, n]} |C_x|^2 \right) \prod_{y \in S[0, n]} \exp(\theta |C_y|).$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the translation invariance,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[|C_x|^2 \prod_{y \in S[0, n]} \exp(\theta |C_y|) \right] &\leq E[|C_0|^4]^{1/2} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\prod_{y \in S[0, n]} \exp(2\theta |C_y|) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_0|^4]^{1/2} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\exp(2\theta R_n |C_0|)]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{E}^p [U_n^2 \exp(\theta U_n)] \leq E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[|C_0|^4]^{1/2} E^{P^0} \left[R_n^2 E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\exp(2\theta R_n |C_0|)]^{1/2} \right]. \quad (8.2)$$

Let

$$n(\theta) := \theta E[R_{1/\theta}]^2, \quad \text{and} \quad f_p(\theta) := \frac{n(\theta)}{\tilde{E}^p [U_{n(\theta)}]}.$$

Then, we have that as $\theta \rightarrow 0$

$$n(\theta) \sim \frac{\pi^2}{\theta(\log(1/\theta))^2},$$

and

$$f_0(\theta) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \log n(\theta) \sim \log(1/\theta) - 2 \log \log(1/\theta).$$

By using (8.1), (8.2), (3.2) and the fact that $R_n \leq n$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_p(\theta)}{\theta} \Lambda_p(\theta) &\leq 1 + \frac{\theta E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_0|^4]^{1/2}}{2 \tilde{E}^p[U_{n(\theta)}]} E^{P^0} \left[R_{n(\theta)}^2 E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\exp(2\theta R_{n(\theta)} |C_0|)]^{1/2} \right] \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [|C_0|^4]^{1/2} \theta n(\theta) E^{\mathbb{P}_p} [\exp(2\theta n(\theta) |C_0|)]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By this and $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \theta n(\theta) = 0$, it follows that

$$\limsup_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_p(\theta)}{\theta} \Lambda_p(\theta) \leq 1. \quad (8.3)$$

By (1.9) and $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} n(\theta) = +\infty$, it follows that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_p(\theta)}{f_0(\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{E}^p[U_{n(\theta)}]}{E^{P^0}[R_{n(\theta)}]} = 1. \quad (8.4)$$

By [H01],

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_0(\theta)}{\theta} \Lambda_0(\theta) = 1. \quad (8.5)$$

By using (8.3), (8.4), (8.5) and the fact that $\Lambda_p(\theta) \geq \Lambda_0(\theta)$, we have the desired result.

If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, then, by (7.5), it is easy to see that

$$\Lambda_p(\theta) = \Lambda_0(\theta), \quad 0 < \theta < \theta_c(p).$$

Thus we have assertion (ii). $\square$

Theorem 8.2 (Negative exponentials). *If $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \tilde{E}^p[\exp(-\theta U_n)]}{n^{d/(d+2)}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log E^{P^0}[\exp(-\theta R_n)]}{n^{d/(d+2)}}. \quad (8.6)$$

It is shown in [DV79] that the limit in the right hand side of (8.6) exists and depends only on (d, θ) . We will show this by using exponential decay of sizes of clusters in subcritical phases.

Proof. As in [DV79, (1.2)], let

$$k(\theta, d) := \theta^{2/(d+2)} \frac{d+2}{2} \left(\frac{2\gamma_d}{d} \right)^{d/(d+2)} > 0,$$

where γ_d is the lowest eigenvalue of the Laplacian $-(1/2)\Delta$ for $B(0, 1)$ with zero boundary values. We let $b_n := n^{1/(d+2)}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the set of bounded open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let

$$\text{dist}(A_1, A_2) := \inf\{\|x - y\| : x \in A_1, y \in A_2\}, \quad A_1, A_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For each $H \in \mathcal{O}$, let $\lambda(H)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian with zero boundary conditions for H .

For any $H_i \in \mathcal{O}, i = 0, 1, 2$, with $H_0 \subset \overline{H_0} \subset H_1 \subset \overline{H_1} \subset H_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}^p[\exp(-\theta U_n)] &\geq \exp(-\theta b_n^d |H_2|) \tilde{P}^p \left(\bigcup_{x \in S[0, n]} C_x \subset b_n H_2 \right) \\ &\geq \exp(-\theta b_n^d |H_2|) P^0(S[0, n] \subset b_n H_1) \left(1 - \sum_{x \in b_n H_1} \mathbb{P}_p(C_x \not\subset b_n H_2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Using the exponential decay of sizes of clusters of subcritical percolations on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\overline{H_1} \subset H_2$,

$$\frac{1}{b_n^d} \log \left(1 - b_n^d |H_1| \mathbb{P}_p(\text{diam}(C_x) > b_n \text{dist}(H_1, H_2^c)) \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tilde{E}^p[\exp(-\theta U_n)]}{b_n^d} \geq -\theta |H_2| + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P^0(S[0, n] \subset b_n H_1)}{b_n^d}.$$

Since we can make $|H_2 \setminus H_0|$ arbitrarily small,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tilde{E}^p[\exp(-\theta U_n)]}{b_n^d} \geq - \inf_{H \in \mathcal{O}} \theta |H| + \lambda(H).$$

By [DV79, (5.5) and Theorem 1],

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tilde{E}^p[\exp(-\theta U_n)]}{b_n^d} \geq k(\theta, d) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log E^{P^0}[\exp(-\theta R_n)]}{b_n^d}.$$

(8.6) follows from this and the fact that $R_n \leq U_n$. $\square$

For $\theta \in (-\infty, \theta_c(p)) \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in V(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, let

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_p(\theta) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tilde{E}^p[\exp(\theta U_n)]}{\log E^{P^x}[\exp(\theta R_n)]}.$$

Then,

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_p(\theta) \geq 1, \quad 0 < \theta < \theta_c(p).$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_p(\theta) \leq 1, \quad \theta < 0.$$

If $p = 0$, then $\tilde{\Lambda}_p(\theta) = 1$ for any θ . Since Λ_p is continuous on $[0, \theta_c(p))$, $\tilde{\Lambda}_p$ is so. By (1.4), Theorems 8.1 and 8.2,

Corollary 8.3. *Let $p > 0$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}^d, d \geq 3$, then,*

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0, \theta > 0} \tilde{\Lambda}_p(\theta) = \frac{c_p}{c_0} > 1 \geq \sup_{\theta < 0} \tilde{\Lambda}_p(\theta).$$

Hence, $\tilde{\Lambda}_p(\theta)$ cannot be extended to $\theta = 0$ continuously.

Remark 8.4. A similar result also holds for graphs other than $\mathbb{Z}^d$. By Gibson [Gi08], it is easy to see that if G is a Cayley graph of a finitely generated group with polynomial volume growth of degree $d \geq 2$, then,

$$-\log \tilde{E}^p[\exp(-\theta U_n)] \simeq n^{d/(d+2)}.$$

APPENDIX A. A DISCRETE ANALOG OF THE WIENER SAUSAGE

In this section, we consider an extension of the range of random walk, which was stated by [Sp64, Section 4]. We assume that G is $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 3$ and A is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ containing the origin. Here we let $\{S_i\}_i$ be the simple random walk. We omit most proofs of results in this section and refer the readers to suitable references.

Definition A.1. For $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $n \geq m \geq 0$, let

$$W_{m,n}(A) := \bigcup_{i \in [m,n]} S_i + A, \text{ and, } U_{m,n}(A) := |W_{m,n}(A)|.$$

Let $W_n(A) := W_{0,n}(A)$ and $U_n(A) := U_{0,n}(A)$.

If $A = \{0\}$, then, $U_n(A) = R_n$. The process $\{U_n(A)\}_n$ is also a natural analog of the Wiener sausage. [Sp64, Section 4] states that the strong law holds for $d \geq 3$. Port [P65, P66], Port-Stone [PS68, PS69] considered asymptotics for means of the volumes in connection with interacting particle systems.

Theorem A.2 ([Sp64, Section 4], [Sp76, Problem 14, Chapter 6]). *If $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 3$, then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n(A)}{n} = \text{Cap}(A), \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

As was noted in [Sp76, Problem 14, Chapter 6], this gives an alternative definition of the capacity of a set. By using this, in the same manner as in the proof of (1.2), we can also show that for any $q < +\infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n(A)}{n} = \text{Cap}(A), \quad \text{in } L^q.$$

The last exit decomposition as in (2.1) may not work well in this case in a direct manner.

Theorem A.3. *If $d \geq 4$, then,*

(i)

$$c_{\text{var}}(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Var}(U_n(A))}{n}$$

exists and is positive.

(ii)

$$\frac{U_n(A) - E[U_n(A)]}{\sqrt{c_{\text{var}}(A)n}} \Rightarrow N(0, 1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in law.}$$

Here $N(0, 1)$ is the normal distribution with mean zero and covariance one.

We are not sure whether the value of $c_{\text{var}}(A)$ differs depending on the choice of A . We can show this by imitating the proof of [Le88, Lemma 4.2] with some alterations.

Theorem A.4. *Let $d = 2$. Let γ be the self-intersection local time of two-dimensional Brownian motion given in [Le86CMP, Theoreme 6.1]. Then, the following weak convergence holds:*

$$\frac{(\log n)^2}{n} (U_n(A) - E[U_n(A)]) \Rightarrow -2\pi^2\gamma, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in law.}$$

We can show this assertion in the same manner as in the proof of [Le86CMP, Theoreme 6.1].

Remark A.5. (i) We can give an alternative proof of (2.5). By the translation invariance,

$$\tilde{E}^p[U_n] = E^{\mathbb{P}^p} \left[E^{P^0} [U_n(C_0)] \right].$$

By the proof of [P66, Theorem 3.1],

$$E[U_n(A)] - n\text{Cap}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{x \in A} P^x(k < T_A < +\infty).$$

Hence, by noting $p < p_T(\mathbb{Z}^d)$,

$$\tilde{E}^p[U_n] - nc_p = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d, \text{ finite}} \mathbb{P}_p(C_0 = A) \sum_{x \in A} P^x(k < T_A < +\infty).$$

(2.5) follows from this.

(ii) If $d \geq 5$, then,

$$c_{\text{var}}(A) = \text{Cap}(A)^2 - 2\text{Cap}(A) + (4 - 2\text{Cap}(A)) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[U_n(A)] - n\text{Cap}(A).$$

Since A is finite,

$$E[U_n(A)^2] = 2 \sum_{y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} P^0(H_{y-A} < H_{z-A} \leq n) + O(1).$$

By the Markov property,

$$\sum_{y, z} P^0(T_{y+A} < T_{z+A} \leq n) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} E[U_{n-k}(A)] (E[U_k(A)] - E[U_{k-1}(A)]).$$

Since $d \geq 5$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[U_n(A)] - \text{Cap}(A)n$ exists and is finite and positive.

By using this,

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} (E[U_{n-k}(A)] - (n-k)\text{Cap}(A)) (E[U_k(A)] - E[U_{k-1}(A)] - \text{Cap}(A)) = o(n).$$

Therefore, by some calculations,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (E[U_n(A)^2] - n^2 \text{Cap}(A)^2) = \text{Cap}(A)^2 - 2\text{Cap}(A) + 4 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[U_n(A)] - n\text{Cap}(A).$$

A.1. Intermediate process. Let $\bar{U}_{m,n}^{(p)} := E^{\mathbb{P}^p}[U_{m,n}]$, $m \leq n$, and let $\bar{U}_n^{(p)} := \bar{U}_{0,n}^{(p)}$. $\{\bar{U}_n^{(p)}\}_n$ would be closer to $\{U_n(A)\}_n$ than $\{U_n\}$. Contrary to the case of $\{U_n(A)\}_n$, we can consider this on general graphs which do not possess a group structure. An application of Theorem 1.3 is the following:

Corollary A.6 (SLLN). *Let G be a vertex-transitive graph, o be a vertex of G , and c_p be as in (1.1). Then,*

(i)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{U}_n^{(p)}}{n} = c_p, \quad P^o\text{-a.s.}$$

(ii)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E^{P^o}[U_n]}{n} = c_p, \quad \mathbb{P}_p\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof. We show (i). Applying Liggett's theorem to $\{E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_{m,n}]\}_{m,n}$, there is a random variable Y such that

$$\frac{E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_n]}{n} \rightarrow Y, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad P^o\text{-a.s.}$$

By Theorem 1.3 (i),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n}{n} = c_p, \quad \text{in } L^1(\tilde{P}^{o,p}).$$

By Fubini's theorem,

$$E^{\mathbb{P}_p} \left[\left| \frac{U_n}{n} - c_p \right| \right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in } L^1(P^o).$$

By taking a subsequence $(n_k)_k$,

$$\frac{E^{\mathbb{P}_p}[U_{n_k}]}{n_k} \rightarrow c_p, \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad P^o\text{-a.s.}$$

Hence we obtain $c_p = Y$, P^o -a.s. We can show (ii) in the same manner. $\square$

It follows that for $n_1 < n_2 \leq n_3 < n_4$, $\overline{U}_{n_1, n_2}^{(p)}$ and $\overline{U}_{n_3, n_4}^{(p)}$ are independent. Recall Remark 6.4. By this, we have the following CLTs for $\{\overline{U}_n^{(p)}\}_n$.

Theorem A.7 (Variances and CLT). *Let $d \geq 4$. Then,*
(i)

$$c_{\text{var}, p} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Var} \left(\overline{U}_n^{(p)} \right)}{n}$$

exists and is positive.

(ii)

$$\frac{\overline{U}_n^{(p)} - E \left[\overline{U}_n^{(p)} \right]}{\sqrt{c_{\text{var}, p} n}} \Rightarrow N(0, 1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in law.}$$

Theorem A.8. *Let $d = 2$. Let γ be the self-intersection local time of two-dimensional Brownian motion. Then,*

$$\frac{(\log n)^2}{n} \left(\overline{U}_n^{(p)} - E \left[\overline{U}_n^{(p)} \right] \right) \Rightarrow -2\pi^2 \gamma, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in law.}$$

Remark A.9. (i) $\text{Var}_p(U_n) \geq \text{Var} \left(\overline{U}_n^{(p)} \right)$.

(ii) We are not sure properties for $c_{\text{var}, p}$ as a function of p .

Acknowledgement. The author thanks to N. Kubota for proposing questions of this kind and discussions. He also thanks to R. Fukushima, P. Mathieu, I. Okada and M. Takei for suggestions and comments. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up (15H06311) and for JSPS Research Fellows (24.8491, 16J04213).

REFERENCES

- [AV08] T. Antunović and I. Veselić, Sharpness of the phase transition and exponential decay of the subcritical cluster size for percolation on quasi-transitive graphs, *J. Stat. Phys.* 130, (2008) 983-1009.
- [AS15] A. Asselah and B. Schapira, Boundary of the Range of Transient Random Walk, arXiv:1507.01031v3.
- [AS16] A. Asselah and B. Schapira, Moderate deviations for the range of a transient random walk: path concentration, arXiv:1601.03957v2.
- [BR06] B. Bollobás and O. Riordan, *Percolation*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [BL83] H. Brézis, and E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1983), no. 3, 486-490.
- [D10] R. Diestel, Graph theory, Fourth edition, Springer, 2010.
- [DV79] M. D. Donsker and S. R. S. Varadhan, On the number of distinct sites visited by a random walk, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* 32 (1979) 721-747.
- [DE51] A. Dvoretzky and P. Erdős, Some problems on random walk in space, *Proc. Second Berkeley Symp. on Math. Stat. and Prob.*, 353-368, Univ. of California Press, 1951.
- [FH15] R. Fitzner and R. van der Hofstad, Nearest-neighbor percolation function is continuous for $d > 10$, arXiv 1506.07977.
- [FN93] L. Fontes and C. M. Newman, First passage percolation for random colorings of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 3 (1983) 746-762; Erratum, 4, (1994) 254.
- [Gi08] L. R. Gibson, The mass of sites visited by a random walk on an infinite graph, *Elec. Comm. Probab.* 13 (2008) 1257-1282.
- [Gr99] G. R. Grimmett, *Percolation*, Springer-Verlag, 2nd ed., 1999.
- [GP97] G. R. Grimmett and M. S. T. Piza, Decay of correlations in random-cluster model, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 189 (1997) 465-480.
- [H01] Y. Hamana, Asymptotics of the Moment Generating Function for the Range of Random Walks, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 14 (2001) 189-197.
- [HW08] Y. Higuchi and X.-Y. Wu, Uniqueness of the critical probability for percolation in the two-dimensional Sierpiński carpet lattice, *Kobe J. Math.* 25 (2008), no. 1-2, 1-24.
- [JP70] N. C. Jain and W. E. Pruitt, The range of recurrent random walk in the plane, *Z. Wahr. Verw. Gebiete* 16 (1970) 279-292.
- [JP72] N. C. Jain and W. E. Pruitt, The range of random walk. *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971)*, Vol. III, 31-50. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1972.
- [KS63] H. Kesten and F. Spitzer, Ratio theorems for random walks I, *J. Analyse Math.* 11 (1963) 285-321.
- [K97] T. Kumagai, Percolation on pre-Sierpinski carpets. *New trends in stochastic analysis (Charingworth, 1994)*, 288-304, *World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ*, 1997.
- [K14] T. Kumagai, Random walks on disordered media and their scaling limits, *École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XL-2010*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2101, Springer, 2014.
- [KN15] T. Kumagai and C. Nakamura, Lamplighter random walks on fractals, to appear in *J. Theoret. Probab.*, available at arXiv:1505.00861.
- [La96] G. Lawler, *Intersections of random walks*, Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [Le86CMP] J.-F. Le Gall, Propriétés d'intersection des marches aléatoires. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 104 (1986) 471-507.
- [Le86AOP] J.-F. Le Gall, Sur la saucisse de Wiener et les points multiples du mouvement brownien. *Ann Probab.* 14 (1986) 1219-1244.
- [Le88] J.-F. Le Gall, Fluctuation results for the Wiener sausage, *Ann. Probab.* 16 (1988) 991-1018.
- [Li85] T. M. Liggett, An improved subadditive ergodic theorem, *Ann. Probab.* 13 (1985) 1279-1285.
- [Okad14] I. Okada, The inner boundary of random walk range, to appear in *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, arXiv:1407.2081v2.

- [Okam14] K. Okamura, On the range of random walk on graphs satisfying a uniform condition, *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* 11 (2014), no. 1, 341-357.
- [Okam16+] K. Okamura, Enlargement of subgraphs of infinite graphs by Bernoulli percolation : A summary, to appear in *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*.
- [Okam-ws] K. Okamura, Long time behavior of the volume of the Wiener sausage on Dirichlet spaces, in preparation.
- [P65] S. C. Port, Limit theorems involving capacities for recurrent Markov chains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 12 (1965) 555-569.
- [P66] S. C. Port, Limit theorems involving capacities, *J. Math. Mech.* 15 (1966) 805-832.
- [PS68] S. C. Port and C. J. Stone, Hitting times for transient random walks. *J. Math. Mech.* 17 (1968) 1117-1130.
- [PS69] S. C. Port and C. J. Stone, Potential theory of random walks on Abelian groups, *Acta Math.* 122 (1969) 19-114.
- [Sh96] M. Shinoda, Percolation on the pre-Sierpinski gasket, *Osaka J. Math.* 33 (1996), no. 2, 533-554.
- [Sh02] M. Shinoda, Existence of phase transition of percolation on Sierpinski carpet lattices, *J. Appl. Probab.* 39 (2002), no. 1, 1-10.
- [Sh03] M. Shinoda, Non-existence of phase transition of oriented percolation on Sierpinski carpet lattices. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 125 (2003), no. 3, 447-456.
- [Sp64] F. Spitzer, Electrostatic capacity, heat flow and Brownian motion, *Z. Wahr. Verw. Gebiete*, 3 (1964) 110-121.
- [Sp76] F. Spitzer, *Principles of Random Walk*, second edition, Springer, 1976.
- [W00] W. Woess, *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN.

E-mail address: kazukio@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp